

25X1A

Approved For Release 2005/02/17

~~SECRET~~

CIA RDP78B04767A000300010026-8

Copy No.

6 of a-9

25X1A

September 12, 1969

25X1A

To:

From:

25X1A

Subject:

Bi-Monthly Status Report No. 2
under Contract

25X1A

Reference:

2200901-BMSR-2

This bi-monthly status report covers the period from June 22 through August 21, 1969. During this period two trips were made to the customer's facility, as follows:

July 22-24, 1969: This trip was concerned with a detailed review of the overall sequence of events, in terms of operational activity. All documentation supporting this activity was reviewed.

August 6, 1969: This trip was concerned with a detailed review of the overall sequence of events in terms of product flow activity.

Trip reports covering each trip have been submitted.

During this period both the operational and product flow data were organized into PERT type flow diagrams. The purpose of this exercise was to provide a quantitative basis for determining (a) what evaluation procedures are being done, and (b) where opportunity exists to introduce evaluation operations. The various evaluations conducted by organizations involved in the sequence of product flow were considered in establishing the flow diagram.

DECLASS REVIEW BY NGA / DoD

NOTICE

THIS MATERIAL CONTAINS INFORMATION AFFECTING THE NATIONAL DEFENSE OF THE UNITED STATES WITHIN THE MEANING OF THE ESPIONAGE LAWS, TITLE 18, USC, SECS. 793 AND 794. THE TRANSMISSION OR REVELATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC DOWNGRADING AND DECLASSIFICATION

Declassification Authority: 2005/02/17, CIA RDP78B04767A000300010026-8

SECRET

25X1A

-2-

The results of the above analysis provided a basis for establishing a philosophy of product evaluation specifically oriented toward APSD's operational mission. This has been documented, along with a preliminary outline for the ON image evaluation handbook. While the emphasis will be on quantitative techniques, subjective evaluations will be incorporated wherever they appear to provide adequate results. There are instances where subjective conclusions, based on experience, are more appropriate than quantitative results based on insufficient knowledge of the underlying phenomena.

Approximately two trips are planned to the customer's facility during the next reporting period. The primary activity during this time will be to prepare a detailed breakdown and outline of the ON image evaluation handbook. This will include categorization of each evaluation technique, both objective and subjective, and its relationship to operational parameters. Those techniques which should be included, but for which insufficient data are available, will be included in the outline to establish direction for future research and development activity.

During this period an extension in time of two months was requested, and approved on the subject program.

/c

SECRET